



IFU

July 8, 2006

Reply to Office Action Summary

Mailed: 04 / 17 / 2006

The purpose of (10 / 780, 233) invention is to prevent an overload on a large electrical system grid carrying thousands of megawatts of power.

The purpose of the Stewart patent is to prevent an overload on a customers onsite electrical emergency generators.

The Stewart patent in combination with the Reedy patent could not serve in the first instance.

The (10 / 780 / 233) invention could not serve in the second instance.

The two inventions are different both in their purpose and in their means for achieving their respective Purposes.

The Stewart Reedy Combination would not be functional when applied to Solving the problem of preventing widespread blackouts on a large electrical system grid.

If a Stewart device were installed on each of the millions of low priority residences and small businesses connected to a large electrical system grid, very little power could be disconnected.

This is because Stewart describes a method for disconnecting only the 240 volt circuits in the low priority residences and small businesses.

The vast majority of low priority residences and small businesses do not have easy access to 240 volt power. No 240 volt outlets are generally provided

Thus even though a suitable means could be found for timing the operation of the Stewart device, such as using the frequency of the power system grid, only a small percentage of the total power carried by the grid could be disconnected.

The Stewart device would not disconnect sufficient electrical load from a large overloaded electrical system grid to be effective in preventing a blackout.

The two 120 volt circuits in each low priority residence and small business would remain energized during the overloads.

The Stewart device would leave a large block of 120 volt load. Which was intended to be disconnected from the electrical system grid.

The Reedy device does not monitor the electrical system grid frequency (the elements 22, 23, 24) are control signal transmitters or communication signal generating apparatus.

William A Simpson
Application No. 10/780,233

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,233	02/18/2004	William A. Simpson		1472

7590 04/17/2006

William A. Simpson
7241 Yolanda Ave
Reseda, CA 91335

EXAMINER

ROMAN, LUIS ENRIQUE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2836

DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/780,233

Applicant(s)

SIMPSON, WILLIAM A.

Examiner

Luis Roman

Art Unit

2836

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2836

Detailed Action

Applicant amendment filed on 01/12/06 has been entered. Rearrangement of the specification was required. It also included remarks/arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart (US 6538343) in view of Reedy (US 6914763).

Regarding to claim 1 Stewart discloses a method of disconnecting electrical load from an overloaded power system grid while maintaining part of the customers electrical circuits energized (col.2 lines 25-28), consisting of the following steps:

a) placing an on/off switch (Fig. 2 elements 62, 64) in either leg of the 240 volt circuit (Fig. 2 elements 78) of the 3 wire Fig. 2 elements 54, 56, 58), center tapped, grounded cable serving said customers (Fig. 2 elements 78, 80, 82, 84, 86, 88, etc.),

c) means (Fig. 2 elements 90) to open said on/off switch (Fig. 2 elements 62, 64), whereby the disconnecting of electrical load from said overloaded power system grid has been accomplished while maintaining part of said customers electrical circuits energized (Fig. 2 elements 50, 90 select the group of switches to open/close to keep the grid at reasonable power values to stay out of the unstable situation).

Stewart does not disclose the method b) providing a frequency meter to monitor the frequency of said power system grid, and to open the switches whenever said frequency meter indicates that the frequency of said overloaded power system grid has

Art Unit: 2836

dropped to a point at which said overloaded power system grid is in danger of becoming unstable.

Reedy teaches the method b) providing a frequency meter (Fig. 1 elements 22, 23, 24) to monitor the frequency of said power system grid, and to open the switches whenever said frequency meter indicates that the frequency of said overloaded power system grid has dropped to a point at which said overloaded power system grid is in danger of becoming unstable (col.2 lines 41-49).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Stewart method/device with the Reedy method/device features because when arise the need for a grid operator to reduce or shutdown a distributed generation unit abruptly, yet in orderly manner, to prevent overloading of lines, over frequency, over voltage, or other conditions which can cause or exacerbate major system disruptions, it is very important to have accurate information about the grid state. This can be accomplished by a close measurement of the frequency in the crucial legs of the grid.

Response to Amendment

The applicant's arguments are not supported by claim language.

Applicant does not overcome the prima facie case of obviousness set for the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2836

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luis E. Román whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 5527. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon – Fri from 7:15 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571) 272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LR/032606

Luis E. Román
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2836



BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800